# Mystery of Fermat Number 

Author: Debajit Das

## ABSTRACT

If any number in the form of $2^{m}+1$ produces a prime number then obviously $m$ is in the form of $2^{n}$ where $n=0,1,2,3,4, \ldots \ldots \ldots$. . In honor of great mathematician Pierre de Fermat, this number has been named as Fermat number i.e. $F_{n}=2^{2^{\wedge n}}+1$. So Fermat number may produce prime number or composite number. By physical verification it is observed that $F_{n}$ is prime for $n=0,1,2,3 \& 4$ But for $\mathrm{n}>4$ it is found to be composite or a single factor with unknown character. There is no proof in favor of prime number for $\mathrm{n}>$ 4. With the help of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}}$ operation as defined in my paper published in IJSER, Vol-4, Issue-8, Aug-edition 2013 regarding 'Mystery of Beal Equation' it has been possible to prove that there is no existence of any prime for $n>4$.
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## 1. Introduction

We know from $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}}$ operation
$\pi\left(e_{i}^{2}+o_{i}^{2}\right)=\left(e_{1}^{2}+o_{1}^{2}\right)\left(e_{2}^{2}+o_{2}^{2}\right)\left(e_{3}^{2}+o_{3}{ }^{2}\right) \ldots \ldots . .\left(e_{n}^{2}+o_{n}^{2}\right)=E\left(v_{j}^{2}+d_{j}^{2}\right)=\left(v_{1}^{2}+d_{1}{ }^{2}\right)=\left(v_{2}{ }^{2}+d_{2}{ }^{2}\right)=\left(v_{3}{ }^{2}+d_{3}{ }^{2}\right)=\ldots \ldots 2^{n-1}$ wings where the symbol $\pi$ \& $E$ stand for continued product \& equalities, $e, v$ for even integers \& $o, d$ for odd integers. All $\left(e_{i}^{2}+o_{i}^{2}\right)$ are prime numbers \& $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=1$. If any prime is repeated then in all cases $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \neq 1$. For any two equalities. $\left(\mathrm{v}_{\max }+\right.$ $d_{\text {max }}$ ) is always a composite number.
For any even number $2^{p}$ (odd no.), $p$ can be said as its degree of intensity (DOI). If DOI of two even numbers $e_{1} \& e_{2}$ be $p_{1} \& p_{2}$ respectively $\left(p_{1} \neq p_{2}\right)$ then DOI of $\left(e_{1} \pm e_{2}\right)$ is $\operatorname{Min}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$. For $p_{1}=p_{2}$, DOI of $\left(e_{1} \pm e_{2}\right)>p_{1}$ or $p_{2}$.
The product \& division rules of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}}$ operation are as follows.
$\left(e_{1}^{2}+o_{1}^{2}\right) \cdot\left(e_{2}^{2}+O_{2}^{2}\right)=\left(\left|e_{1} e_{2} \pm 0_{1} O_{2}\right|\right)^{2}+\left(\left|e_{1} O_{2}-/+o_{1} e_{2}\right|\right)^{2} \&$
$\left(e_{1}^{2}+o_{1}^{2}\right) /\left(e_{2}^{2}+o_{2}^{2}\right)=\left\{\left|e_{1} e_{2} \pm 0_{1} 0_{2}\right| /\left(e_{2}^{2}+o_{2}^{2}\right)\right\}^{2}+\left\{\left|e_{1} 0_{2}-/+o_{1} e_{2}\right| /\left(e_{2}^{2}+o_{2}^{2}\right)\right\}^{2}$ consider only one wing which has integer elements.
Based on the above theoretical background we can prove that the Fermat number ( $F_{n}=2^{2^{\wedge n}}+1$ ) will always represent a composite number for $\mathrm{n}>4$
2. If an odd integer in the form of $\mathrm{e}^{2}+\mathrm{o}^{2}$ where $\operatorname{gcd}(\mathrm{e}, 0)=1$ is composite then there must exist at least one pair of integer $\mathbf{I}_{1,2}$ (one is odd \& other is even) so that $\left[\{\operatorname{Min}(e, o)\}^{2}+I_{1,2}\right] \&\left[\{\operatorname{Max}(e, o)\}^{2}-I_{1,2}\right]$ both are square integers while the existence of any one of $\mathrm{I}_{1,2}$ confirms the number to be composite.

Let us consider a composite number $\mathrm{N}=\left(\mathrm{e}^{2}+\mathrm{o}^{2}\right)=\left(\mathrm{e}_{1}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{o}_{1}{ }^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{e}_{2}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{o}_{2}{ }^{2}\right)=\left(\mathrm{e}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2} \pm \mathrm{e}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{e}_{1} \mathrm{e}_{2}-/+\mathrm{o}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)^{2}$
Here one wing is $\left(e_{1} O_{2}+e_{2} O_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(e_{1} e_{2}-O_{1} O_{2}\right)^{2}$
$\Rightarrow$ even element of other wing $=\left(e_{1} O_{2}-e_{2} O_{1}\right)=\sqrt{ }\left\{\left(e_{1} O_{2}+e_{2} O_{1}\right)^{2}-4 e_{1} e_{2} O_{1} O_{2}\right\}=\sqrt{ }\left(e^{2}-4 e_{1} e_{2} O_{1} O_{2}\right) \Rightarrow\left(e^{2}-4 e_{1} e_{2} O_{1} O_{2}\right)$ must be square integer. Similarly for odd element of other wing ( $0^{2}+4 \mathrm{e}_{1} \mathrm{e}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) must be a square integer.
In this case $0<e$
For $\mathrm{e}<0$, consider one wing is $\left(e_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}-e_{2} \mathrm{O}_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(e_{1} e_{2}+\mathrm{o}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)^{2}$
$\Rightarrow$ even element of other wing $=\sqrt{ }\left(e^{2}+4 e_{1} e_{2} O_{1} O_{2}\right) \&$ odd element of other wing is $\sqrt{ }\left(o^{2}-4 e_{1} e_{2} O_{1} O_{2}\right)$
$\Rightarrow$ both ( $\left.e^{2}+4 e_{1} e_{2} \mathrm{O}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \&\left(0^{2}-4 \mathrm{e}_{1} \mathrm{e}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ must be square integer.
Hence, $\{\operatorname{Min}(\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{o})\}^{2}+4 \mathrm{e}_{1} \mathrm{e}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}=\mathrm{P}^{2} \&\{\operatorname{Max}(\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{o})\}^{2}-4 \mathrm{e}_{1} \mathrm{e}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}=\mathrm{Q}^{2}$ say, $\{\operatorname{Min}(\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{o})\}^{2}+\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{P}^{2} \&\{\operatorname{Max}(\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{o})\}^{2}-\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{Q}^{2}$
$\Rightarrow\{\operatorname{Min}(\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{o})\}^{2}+\left(\mathrm{Q}^{2}-\mathrm{P}^{2}+\mathrm{V}\right)=\mathrm{Q}^{2} \&\{\operatorname{Max}(\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{o})\}^{2}-\left(\mathrm{Q}^{2}-\mathrm{P}^{2}+\mathrm{V}\right)=\mathrm{P}^{2}$ say, $\{\operatorname{Min}(\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{o})\}^{2}+\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{Q}^{2} \&\{\operatorname{Max}(\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{o})\}^{2}-\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{P}^{2}$
$\Rightarrow$ If V exists D must exist or vice-versa.
$\Rightarrow$ Existence of D or V confirms the composite nature of the number N .
$\Rightarrow$ For a composite number, internal equidistant elements from both the extremities must be two square integers of even \& odd at least for once and this 'Equidistance Gap' (E.G) is multiple of 16 otherwise it is prime.
$\Rightarrow\left[\{\operatorname{Min}(e, o)\}^{2}+16 \mathrm{k}\right]+\left[\{\operatorname{Max}(\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{o})\}^{2}-16 \mathrm{k}\right]=\mathrm{P}^{2}+\mathrm{Q}^{2}$ for a composite number where $\mathrm{k}=1,2,3$,
3. For a composite number $N=e_{1}{ }^{2}+o_{1}{ }^{2}=e_{2}{ }^{2}+o_{2}{ }^{2}, \operatorname{Min}\left(e_{2}, o_{2}\right)<\left(e_{1}+o_{1}\right) / 2<\operatorname{Max}\left(e_{2}, O_{2}\right) \& \operatorname{Min}\left(e_{1}, o_{1}\right)<\left(e_{2}+o_{2}\right) / 2<\operatorname{Max}\left(e_{1}, o_{1}\right)$

Let $N=\left(v_{1}{ }^{2}+d_{1}^{2}\right)\left(v_{2}{ }^{2}+d_{2}^{2}\right)=\left(v_{1} d_{2} \pm v_{2} d_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(v_{1} v_{2}-/+d_{1} d_{2}\right)^{2}$ where $v$ is even $\& d$ is odd like e \& o respectively.
Say the wing $\left(v_{1} d_{2}+v_{2} d_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(v_{1} v_{2}-d_{1} d_{2}\right)^{2}=e_{1}^{2}+o_{1}^{2} \&$ other wing $=e_{2}^{2}+o_{2}^{2}$
It is obvious, $\left(v_{1} d_{2}+v_{2} d_{1}\right)^{2}<\left[\left\{\left(v_{1} d_{2}+v_{2} d_{1}\right)+\left(v_{1} v_{2}-d_{1} d_{2}\right)\right\} / 2\right]^{2}<\left(v_{1} v_{2}-d_{1} d_{2}\right)^{2}$
$\Rightarrow\left(\mathrm{e}_{2}^{2}+4 \mathrm{v}_{1} \mathrm{v}_{2} \mathrm{~d}_{1} \mathrm{~d}_{2}\right)<\left\{\left(\mathrm{e}_{1}+\mathrm{o}_{1}\right) / 2\right\}^{2}<\left(\mathrm{o}_{2}^{2}-4 \mathrm{v}_{1} \mathrm{v}_{2} \mathrm{~d}_{1} \mathrm{~d}_{2}\right) \Rightarrow \mathrm{e}_{2}^{2}<\left\{\left(\mathrm{e}_{1}+\mathrm{o}_{1}\right) / 2\right\}^{2}<\mathrm{o}_{2}^{2} \Rightarrow \mathrm{e}_{2}<\left\{\left(\mathrm{e}_{1}+\mathrm{o}_{1}\right) / 2\right\}<\mathrm{o}_{2}$
$\Rightarrow \operatorname{Min}\left(\mathrm{e}_{2}, \mathrm{o}_{2}\right)<\left(\mathrm{e}_{1}+\mathrm{o}_{1}\right) / 2<\operatorname{Max}\left(\mathrm{e}_{2}, \mathrm{o}_{2}\right)$. Similarly, $\operatorname{Min}\left(\mathrm{e}_{1}, \mathrm{o}_{1}\right)<\left(\mathrm{e}_{2}+\mathrm{o}_{2}\right) / 2<\operatorname{Max}\left(\mathrm{e}_{1}, \mathrm{o}_{1}\right)$
Obviously, all $v_{1}, v_{2}, d_{1}, d_{2}<\operatorname{Min}\left[\left(e_{1}+o_{1}\right) / 2,\left(e_{2}+o_{2}\right) / 2\right]$
For a composite number $1+e^{2}$ where lower element is one E.G cannot be more than $e^{2}$ hence its all other wings $P_{i}{ }^{2}+Q_{i}{ }^{2}$ has the property $1<\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{i}}<\mathrm{e}$ i.e. $1<\operatorname{Min}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)<(\mathrm{e}+1) / 2 \&(\mathrm{e}+1) / 2<\operatorname{Max}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)<\mathrm{e}$ or physically it is quite understood.
4. Fermat Number $\left(F_{n}=2^{2 \wedge n}+1\right)$ always represents a composite number for $n>4$.

It is quite obvious from the product rule of $N_{s}$ operation that $F_{n}=2^{2^{\wedge} n}+1$ will represent a composite number when it is possible to divide $2^{2 n_{n-1}}$ i.e. $\left(F_{n-1}-1\right)$ into two even numbers say $\mathrm{e}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{1} \& \mathrm{e}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ where $\left|\mathrm{e}_{1} \mathrm{e}_{2}-0_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right|=1$. If not possible it is a prime. The term $\left|e_{1} e_{2}-0_{1} O_{2}\right|$ can be said as Fermat odd factor ( $F_{\text {of }}$ ) \& the term ( $e_{1} 0_{1}+e_{2} O_{2}$ ) can be said as Fermat even factor ( $F_{\text {ef }}$ ) of Fermat no. $F_{n}$ Here, obviously both the even nos. $e_{1} \& e_{2}$ have same degree of intensity i.e. both contain the factors $2^{p}$. DOI of $F_{\text {ef }}$ means DOI of $e_{1}$ or $e_{2}$ i.e. $p$ but actual $D O I$ of $F_{\text {ef }}>p$.
Say, $e_{1}=\left(2^{p} d_{1}\right) \& e_{2}=\left(2^{p} d_{2}\right) \Rightarrow\left(F_{n-1}-1\right)=\left(2^{p} d_{1}\right) o_{1}+\left(2^{p} d_{2}\right) o_{2}=2^{p}\left(0_{1} d_{1}+o_{2} d_{2}\right)$ and obviously $\left(0_{1} d_{1}+o_{2} d_{2}\right)$ is in the form of $2^{m}$ where $m+p=2^{n-1} \Rightarrow$ there cannot exist any common factor between any two among $d_{1}, d_{2}, o_{1} \& o_{2}$ Hence we cannot have any factor of $F_{\mathrm{n}}$ in the form of $(\mathrm{ke})^{2}+(\mathrm{ko})^{2} \Rightarrow F_{\mathrm{n}}$ is the product of prime numbers without any exponent to any prime.

## Example:

$F_{0}=2^{2^{20}}+1=3$. As there does not exist any number before $F_{0}$ it is prime.
$F_{1}=2^{2 \wedge 1}+1=5$. Here $F_{0}-1=2 \& 2$ cannot be divided into two even numbers. Hence, $F_{1}=5$ is a prime.
$F_{2}=2^{2^{\wedge 2}}+1=17$. Here $F_{1}-1=4 \& 4=2.1+2.1$ where $F_{f}=2.2-1.1 \neq 1$. Hence $F_{2}=17$ is a prime.
$F_{3}=2^{2 \wedge 3}+1=257$. Here $F_{2}-1=16$ and 16 can be written as,
$16=2.1+2.7$ for which $F_{f}=1.7-2.2 \neq 1$
$16=2^{2} .1+2^{2} .3 \& F_{f} \neq 1$ and lastly $16=2.3+2.5$ for which $F_{f} \neq 1$
For equal division obviously $F_{f} \neq 1$ Hence, $F_{3}=257$ is a prime.
$F_{4}=2^{2 \cdot 4}+1=65537$. Here $F_{3}-1=256$ and 256 can be written as,
$2+2.127,4+4.63,2.3+2.125, \ldots \ldots$. where in all cases $F_{\text {of }} \neq 1$ Hence, $F_{4}=65537$ is a prime.
But for $F_{5}=2^{2 \wedge}+1$ where $F_{4}-1=65536$ it is found that $65536=4.409+25.2556$ where $F_{\text {of }}=(409.25-4.2556)=1$
Hence, $F_{5}$ is composite \& $F_{5}=\left(25^{2}+4^{2}\right)\left(409^{2}+2556^{2}\right)=4294967297$
Let us consider a Fermat composite number
$F_{n}=\left\{\left(2^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{d}_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{o}_{1}\right)^{2}\right\} .\left\{\left(2^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{d}_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)^{2}\right\}=\left\{2^{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{d}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{d}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{1}\right)\right\}^{2}+\left(2^{2 \mathrm{p}} \mathrm{d}_{1} \mathrm{~d}_{2}-\mathrm{o}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)^{2}$ where $\mathrm{F}_{\text {of }}=2^{2 \mathrm{p}} \mathrm{d}_{1} \mathrm{~d}_{2}-\mathrm{o}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}=1 \& \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}-1}-1=2^{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{d}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{d}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{1}\right)$ where $\left(d_{1} O_{2}+d_{2} O_{1}\right)$ is in the form of $2^{m}$ \& obviously, $m+p=2^{n-1}$ It clearly indicates that for any composite Fermat number $F_{n}$, all the factors are expressible in the form of (even) ${ }^{2}+(\text { odd })^{2}$ having different values of DOI of all even elements but if we express all the factors as product of two factors then only in one case both will show same DOI of even elements where $F_{\text {of }}$ will exist as 1 for $F_{n+1}$ to be composite. If a Fermat number has only two prime factors then DOI for both as well as $F_{\text {ef }}$ for the next is constant.
Now, for $\mathrm{F}_{n+1}, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}}-1=\left\{2^{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{d}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{d}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{1}\right)\right\}^{2}=2^{2 p}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{1}{ }^{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{d}_{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{O}_{1}{ }^{2}+2 \mathrm{~d}_{1} \mathrm{~d}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)=2^{2 p} \mathrm{~d}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{2}+2^{2 p}\left(2 \mathrm{~d}_{1} \mathrm{~d}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{d}_{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{o}_{1}^{2}\right)$

This degree of intensity can be reduced or increased simply introducing $\pm 2^{\prime} d$ or $\pm 2^{2 p}$ with both the even parts of $F_{\text {ef }}$.
Say, $F_{n}-1=\left(2^{2 p} d_{1}^{2} O_{2}^{2} \pm 2^{\prime} d\right)+\left\{2^{2 p}\left(2 d_{1} d_{2} O_{1} O_{2}+d_{2}^{2} O_{1}^{2}\right)-1+2^{\prime} d\right\}=2^{\prime}\left(2^{2 p-r} d_{1}^{2} O_{2}^{2} \pm d\right)+2^{\{ }\left\{2^{2 p-r}\left(2 d_{1} d_{2} O_{1} O_{2}+d_{2}^{2} O_{1}^{2}\right)-1+d\right\}$. There is no need to consider $\pm 2^{\prime} d$ or $\pm 2^{2 p}$ because it will produce all other wings of $F_{n}$ where $F_{\text {of }} \neq 1$.
Without considering them we have,
$F_{n}-1=2^{2 p}\left(d_{1}^{2} O_{2}^{2}\right)+2^{2 p}\left(2 d_{1} d_{2} O_{1} O_{2}+d_{2}{ }^{2} O_{1}{ }^{2}\right)=2^{2 p} \lambda+2^{2 p}\left(2^{n-2 p}-\lambda\right)=2^{2 p} \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}+2^{2 p} \lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}$ (say) so that $2^{4 p} \lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}-\lambda_{2} \lambda_{4}=1$ i.e. $F_{\text {of }}$ of $F_{n+1}=$ 1. Whatever may be the mode of divisions it does not matter. If the above mentioned two cases fail to produce $F_{\text {ef }} \&$ its corresponding $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{of}}=1$ we can follow the most general case of divisions i.e. $\left(\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}\right)=\left(d_{1}^{2} \mathrm{o}_{2}^{2}+\mathrm{d}_{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{O}_{1}^{2}+2 \mathrm{~d}_{1} \mathrm{~d}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$
Now the question is whether $F_{\text {of }}$ of $F_{n+1}$ exists or not? If exists it is composite otherwise it is prime.
In favor of its existence we can say that once $F_{n}$ has been found to be formed as composite for $n=5 \& 6$ by the same chain procedure suddenly we cannot receive a prime. If received, say $F_{m}$ is prime then by backward view $F_{m-1}$ cannot be composite which is contradictory. Hence all $F_{n}$ for $n>4$, are composite

For more authentic proof we can follow a simple conjecture which can be easily proved by N -Equation theory.
If $u^{2}+1$ is composite then $u^{4}+1$ is also composite.
As $u^{2}+1$ is composite it must have another wing say, $u^{2}+1=a^{2}+b^{2}$
Now $u^{4}+1=\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{2}-2 u^{2}=\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 a b)^{2}-2 u^{2}$.
It clearly indicates $u^{4}+1$ must satisfy the left hand odd element of a $2^{\text {nd }}$ kind $N$-equation where $k=2 u^{2}$.
Hence $u^{4}+1$ cannot be prime. Because all the elements of a $2^{\text {nd }}$ kind $N$-equation under $k=2 u^{2}$ are composite.
In general, if $u^{2}+1 \& v^{2}+1$ both are composite then (uv) ${ }^{2}+1$ is also composite. (*uv theory)
Now, $F_{\text {ef }}$ of $F_{n+1}=2^{2 p}\left(\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}\right)=2^{2 p} .2^{2 m}$ where $p$ is in the form of $2^{r} \& m$ is in the form of $2^{r}(o d d)$.
$\Rightarrow 2^{2 p} .2^{2 m}+1$ is composite $\& 2^{p} 2^{m}$ is expressible in the form of $F_{\text {ef }}$ with $F_{o f}=1$.
From general uv theory also it can be analyzed.
$\Rightarrow 2^{2 m}+1$ is a composite number. $2^{2 \rho}+1$ is also composite after certain operation. Hence, $2^{2 p} .2^{2 m}+1$ is also composite.
$\Rightarrow 2^{p} 2^{m}$ is expressible in the form of $F_{\text {ef }}$ with $F_{\text {of }}=1$.
Hence, if $F_{n}$ is composite $F_{n+1}$ is also composite.
Similarly, $F_{\text {ef }}$ of $F_{n+2}=2^{4 p}\left(\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}\right)^{2}=2^{4 p} .2^{4 m}$
$\Rightarrow 2^{4 m} 2^{4 m}+1$ being a composite number $2^{2 m} 2^{2 m}$ is always expressible in the form of $F_{\text {ef }}$ with $F_{\text {of }}=1$.
Hence, if $F_{n}$ is composite $F_{n+2}$ is also composite. By physical verification we have seen that $F_{5}$ \& $F_{6}$ are composite.
Hence, all Fermat numbers are composite for $\mathrm{n}>4$.

## Conclusion:

I believe that the proof of this composite nature of all Fermat numbers for $n>4$ is correct. In general it will not be wrong to conclude that any number in the form of $F_{n}=(o d d)^{2}+(\text { even })^{2^{\wedge n}}$ or (odd) $)^{2^{\wedge n}}+(\text { even })^{2}$ where gcd(odd, even) $=1$ produces constantly composite numbers after certain operations of n for prime numbers. So at initial stage if $(\mathrm{odd})^{2}+(\mathrm{even})^{2}$ is found to be composite it will never produce prime numbers. Possibility of constant production of prime numbers cannot be ruled out also. For $F_{\text {of }}>1$ we have to follow the same analysis \& logic but separately for both the expressions under ' $\ddagger$ ' corresponding to $F_{\text {ef }}$ under ' $-/+$ '
I also believe that with the help of this $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}}$ operation and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{d}}$ operation as defined in my earlier papers published in 'IJSER, Houston, USA' so many problems in Number Theory are possible to be solved.
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